



Concordia Student Union – Council of Representatives

CSU Regular Council Meeting – Agenda

Wednesday, March 13th, 2013

Room H-760, 6:30 PM, S.G.W Campus

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Roll Call**

Consent Agenda

- 3. Approval of the Minutes and Business Arising**
 - a. May minutes (approved?)**
 - b. January 23rd minutes**
 - c. February 13th minutes**
- 4. Executive and Committee Reports**
- 5. University Bodies' Reports**
- 6. Chairperson's Report**
- 7. Approval of the Agenda**

Regular Agenda

- 8. Unfinished Business**
 - a. Appointment of CSU President (Ratification)**
 - b. Referendum Questions Committee (Ratification)**
- 9. New Business**
 - a. Appointment of Judicial Board Legal Advisor**
 - b. Greenhouse Fee Levy Request**
 - c. Space Concordia**
 - d. Access Centre for Students with Disabilities**



Concordia Student Union – Council of Representatives

e. Habitat Launch Party (20 minutes)

10. Question Period

11. Announcements

12. Adjournment

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

Consent Agenda

3. Approval of the Minutes and Business Arising

4. Executive and Committee Reports

5. University Bodies' Reports

6. Chairperson's Report

7. Approval of the Agenda

Regular Agenda

8. Appointment of Judicial Board Legal Advisor

Nick: Mr. Miranda is here and our nominee for legal advisor position. We had issues last year so this year when we met quorum, we had a budget and could send out applications for positions. We got three applications of whom M. Miranda is one. We felt comfortable moving forward with him for the Presidential decision.

Vincent Miranda: Studied at U of O with a psychology degree. Had a specialty in buying and selling businesses. As I moved up in the hierarchies of companies, I was made aware of problems with Boards of Governors. When my company closed, I opened a law firm with offices in Hudson and Hawksbury because I have the Ontario and Quebec bar. I worked for a non-profit corporation at McGill. I find this work very interesting and am honored to use my experience and time in providing the best work I can give.

Chad Walcott moves to ratify the appointment of the Judicial Board's nomination
Seconded by **Gonzo Nieto**

Melissa Kate Wheeler: We discussed last meeting about the ambiguity of the exclusivity word.

Nick: Following that, I consulted with Patrice Blais who helped with the last bylaws. He clarified that the exclusivity part means you cannot take any other legal work within the CSU. Vincent wouldn't be able to get involved with the case of CFS for example.

Nadine Atallah: Have you worked with the CSU in the past?

Vincent Miranda: No but I have worked with corporations with similar structures.

Nadine Atallah: Have you ever worked for CSU?

Vincent Miranda: No, my wife went to Concordia.

Benjamin Prunty: How long is this appointment for?

Nick: Five years.

VOTE

All in favour of Chad's motion: 16

Oppositions: 1

Motion passes

9. New Business

A) Space Concordia

Gregory Gibson: Space Concordia was put in place because we were introduced to the Canadian Satellite Challenge. We won the first competition and we now have a second satellite team. We have a rocketry team which just started. Over the past two years we've had 20 outreach events to promote space awareness and have interactions with the industry. We won the competition and we're in the process of certifying that our satellite is space-worthy and we're working with the European Space Agency. We need funding for our second team that just got formed. We got a lot of media attention after our win. A lot of attention was paid to Concordia. We met Jay Ingram.

Yassine: What you have on the table is the award and info paper. We need all talents not just engineering students. I am a business student but I still contribute to the process. Concordia is the first Quebec University which is going to launch a Concordia Satellite in space. We are trying to reach out to many students. We support interfaculty cooperation. We need as much help as we can get from as many students of different backgrounds as we can. We're working on our second satellite which will be sent out at the end of the summer. Because we won the contest, the 80K\$ price tag for launch will be paid for. Our mission is noble. Our satellite will analyze the weather in the south Atlantic. We hope CSU can be the strongest partner we can have because it represents Concordia students.

Veryan Goodship: A very cool competition, congratulations on how far you've gotten so far. The technology you're producing; Who gets access to it? Who's funding this challenge? Who has the final rights to what you've created?

Gregory Gibson: Geocentrics is the company funding the project. That being said, commercial satellites do sometimes have military applications. As far as I know, Geocentrics is not affiliated with the military.

Chuck Wilson: CSU mandate is more student life projects. Building a satellite is more academic. How do you think this fits into the mandate of the CSU? How do you justify asking for \$80K for such a small number of students? Why do you think it should be the students who pay for this? What have you already received from industry and what can you expect? Alan Shepherd only mentioned Space Concordia and not Concordia University. Why don't you go to him?

Gregory Gibson: We have graduate students and PhD students working on it. It really supports interfaculty collaboration. We're doing an outreach and in a way we're promoting Concordia for future Concordia students.

Yassine: As Concordia students, we're ambassadors. We want Concordia students in the future to be able to say they're proud to have taken part in this.

Gregory Gibson: Equipment fund only comes from engineering students. We're approaching the CSU because we want to be fair and approach all students. We were 30 students on the project at first. Now we have 165 active members. It changes every day because of the volunteers.

Yassine: Shepherd may be mentioning Space Concordia but the media talks about Concordia University.

Hannah Hackney: Sits on CCSL and also on the sub-committee for the SPFs. When we are reviewing the applications, we ask ourselves how does this impact student life? We approved a considerable portion of the amount they're asking for. We did decide that this impacts Concordia in the external community and the outreach to the students involved with Concordia. We realized that this would be affecting much more than 100 students. You could try providing the budget information.

Chris Webster: Thank you for your presentation. Was there any recommendation from Finance Committee?

Chairperson: No.

Gregory Gibson: We're asking for a lot of money and we want to approach several sources.

Chris Webster: Are you asking for a contribution or an investment?

Gregory Gibson: A donation. In return you can get sponsorship opportunities.

Andrew Roberts: The University really benefits from this. How much are you asking for? Asks for clarification.

Gregory Gibson: We are asking for \$72,000. We'd like all of it if possible but we will accept any donation.

Caroline Bourbonniere: How much comes from corporate sponsorship?

Yassine: \$30,000.

Caroline Bourbonniere: How many companies have you contacted?

Gregory Gibson: All the major aerospace companies; we're trying to contact as many as possible.

Gonzo Nieto: Why did you come here instead of coming to Finance Committee?

Yassine: I believed Finance Committee only discusses amounts around 50K so I figured the amount was too big.

Keny Toto moves to send the matter to Finance Committee
Seconded by **Chris Webster**

Benjamin Prunty moves to go into closed session

VOTE

All in favour of moving into closed session: 10

Oppositions: 10

Motion fails

Jordan Lindsay: This needs to go to Finance Committee because we don't know if we can pull this money from our budget yet. We would need to do analysis if we can even put money together. This is not generally common practice for the CSU. We have an annual \$20,000 for SPF which goes to things like this. That being said, these guys have approached us so if we want to support them in other ways it does have to go to Finance Committee.

Pierre Tardivo Martin amends motion to include "so that a proposal can be drafted and brought to Council" at the end.

Seen as friendly

VOTE

All in favour of Keny's motion with Pierre's amendment: 14

Abstentions: 1

Motion passes

B) Access Centre for Students with Disabilities

Patrick Lefebvre: There has been an attendance fund set up. I have invited Mr. Jordan Leon to speak.

Jordan Leon: Perhaps the ACSD could provide something to the CSU. I've been working in disability services for 10 years so I know a lot about planning accessible events. What I would suggest is putting on workshops for student leaders so we could sit down for an hour together and talk about issues that could come up for frosh events, etc. I'm happy to work with the students.

Jordan Lindsay: We are working with Finance Committee to create a fund just for this. We'd like to invite you to the next Finance Committee meeting to discuss how to proceed.

Alexis Suzuki: I've had a discussion along these lines with the Dean of Students about attendance for Orientations. When we decide to continue this discussion, we'd love for you to join us.

Chris Webster:

Whereas the Concordia Student Union is interested in improving accessibility to all undergraduate students.

Whereas all undergraduate students contribute to the Concordia Student Union and should have equal rights and access

Whereas there are students who do not have complete access to events and services promoted by the CSU.

Whereas the Access Centre for Students with Disabilities is available to work with the CSU to improve services to students.

Whereas the Concordia Student Union is mandated to advocate in students best interests and provide services equally to all of its members.

BIRT the Concordia Student Union's Council of Representatives refers the matter to its Policy Committee to recommend ways the CSU can improve accessibility to the services and events it promotes to undergraduate students.

VOTE

All in favour of Chris' motion: 15

Abstentions: 1

Motion passes

C) Habitat Launch Party

Hannah Hackney: Has been approached by a large number of people and the consensus was disappointment on a few frontiers. One of the primary things was that the rebranding project as a whole didn't have information. It was not about information so much as about candy and booze. Just referring to it as a rebranding is insulting to the intelligence of students. The prices we paid for a photographer was sort of a red flag. We can get CommsGuild people for free and we ended up paying someone to do that. From a personal standpoint and speaking to friends that people who were there, there were people not from Concordia who were there who were drinking booze that Concordia students paid for.

Nadine Atallah: I thought it fair to provide a small honorarium to the photographers – them being students. The costs were all-around low. Beer was leftover from Orientation. This wasn't an extravagant party. I understand and respect your opinion of what you think the party should have been but if you had read the section in the report you would have seen that the impression was that people really appreciated the event. People had a blast and it was a different vibe from cultural night. I don't know who were these vast numbers of people who didn't like it but as far as I saw, people liked it.

As for wanting more substance, the purpose wasn't to have a speech. Our next step is to have a town hall where we can get more serious and have more important conversations.

Andrew Roberts: There's been so many negative comments and undue criticism towards it. It's an event to have a good time. I haven't seen an event being criticized so much. The negative history of the project is spilling over onto this. Do we question every event? Why are photographers getting paid? Come on. Nadine did a great job. Katie did an awesome job.

James Vaccaro: From the students that spoke to me that did attend the party, they did enjoy themselves quite a bit. I did also speak to students who did not go in protest, thinking the idea of a rebranding of a student center was somewhat ludicrous. You can't compare this to a cultural night because that's not what it was. When the event occurred, no conversation occurred. Nothing of substance came out. The resources of the CSU would have been better spent on an event that didn't involve 200-something dollars on candy.

Simon-Pierre Lauzon: I feel there's a misunderstanding. We did what we did to brand the student center project. Just bringing up the student center made people run away. We had a lot of criticism but we consider it a chance to clear the air. We got the word out about the student center and answered questions. Lots was done at this event.

Chris Webster: If there was to be a discussion to share information, what would you say?

Nadine Atallah: We have been trying to find a way that has not been done before. Town Halls, we think, would be the best option but the problem is that it wouldn't go out to the average student. Therefore, we have been thinking about doing an online town hall and do live-chat stuff. 83% of people did not know that this project is going on on campus. We did the party and now we're going for something which will be a town hall meeting which would have more substance.

Chris Webster: What's the status of this? What do you need to do for it to happen faster? Maybe we should formalize how that can happen?

Nadine Atallah: We have reports ongoing with MHPM and one of them is our assessment. While the assessment has been formalized, it doesn't have legitimacy if a good number of students haven't filled out the survey. We don't just want clubs and fee levy opinions but rather everyone's opinions.

Benjamin Prunty calls for the orders of the day.

D) Appointment of Leonard LePrince.

Leonard LePrince: Works for the FEUQ. Trying to get your support to run for the 2013-2014 mandate at the FEUQ for the position of Coordinator Internal Affairs.

Chad Walcott: BIRT the CSU gives its support to Leonard LePrince's candidacy for the position of internal affairs coordinator at the FEUQ in the upcoming elections.

Seconded by **Veryan Goodship**

VOTE

All in favour of Chad's motion: unanimous

Motion passes

D) Referendum of By Laws

Nick: Had some minor changes to our bylaws this year; big one being 10.2 the one on student status check. Noticed on posters that a referendum does not exist to accept these bylaw changes. So unless these are put to referendum, the changes are not passed to next year.

Chad Walcott: Wants to know what happened as well as point out the fact that this is a huge dereliction of duties. How could so many executives mess this up?

Simon Pierre Lauzon: This happened when?

Melanie Hotchkiss: We discussed it in October but didn't get to drafting until December and you guys voted on it.

Simon Pierre Lauzon: Finding out the issue right now so I'm getting information as we go along. Once a bylaw is changed at council, someone sends it to the CEO. This was the President or VP Academic.

Nadine Atallah: Notwithstanding SR 162 & 163, BIR that the previously approved bylaws approved by this year's council be added to the March election ballot. BIFR that the notification of this change be posted and sent through the mass mailing list.

Hardial Rosner amends the motion to state that this be done before March 14th at 6am

Seen as friendly

Gonzo Nieto: amends that this motion operates regardless of the code of standing regulations in accordance with SR 298.

Melanie Hotchkiss: amends to add "adopted at the January 23rd council meeting".

VOTE

All in favour: 12

Abstentions: **Caroline Bourbonniere, James Vaccaro, Chris Webster, Benjamin Prunty**

Motion passes

Paul Jerajian moves for a 10 minute recess

VOTE

All in favour of a 10 minute recess: 14

Abstentions: 3

Motion passes

10. Unfinished Business

A) Appointment of CSU President

Nadine Atallah: Candidates are Nadine, Simon-Pierre, and Hajar.

Simon Pierre Lauzon: When it comes to my demeanor, I've been through some difficult times in January and February after my campaign. I want council to know that I've been taking care of myself in better ways. I've been taking care of all my obligations since then in terms of external. The document outlining how we're going to dock in the future, and other things related to the FEUQ. Importantly, I did not take on any more things on my portfolio. I'm good at organizing the team. I keep track of it. I am honest with council and have no issues being transparent. The main files that need to be taken care of in the next months are the website, IT, General Manager hiring. Council needs to know that Michele has a huge book full of recommendations for the President to read. If appointed, I would have very clear lines of communications with council, I would speak to execs to make more friendly exec reports, and others.

Chair: We are going to do a secret ballot. In order for a president to be appointed, it has to be a simple majority (9 councillors). If none of the VPs get 9 councillors, then we can move on to other alternatives.

VOTE

All in favour of proceeding in the order of: Nadine, Simon Pierre, and Hajar with every ballot having yes, no, or abstain: 16

Oppositions: **Veryan Goodship**

Motion passes

Chad Walcott moves to have a discussion with a 30 minute time limit

Seconded by **Gonzo Nieto**

Patrick Lefebvre: Would like a vote, has to leave in exactly 25 minutes. I would like to tell my vote to someone and that person gives the chair the vote.

James Vaccaro moves to allow Patrick to submit his vote for each candidate to the chair immediately following the acceptance of this motion

Seconded by **Chad Walcott**

VOTE

All in favour of James' motion: 15

Oppositions: 1

Abstentions: 1

Motion passes

VOTE

All in favour of Chad's motion: 10

Abstentions: 7

Motion passes

Chad Walcott: Simon-Pierre, you mentioned that the last time we talked about appointment of president, you said that if you were president, it would be a huge blow to the union.

Simon Pierre Lauzon: I had many commitments back then. Now, I have different space and different times.

Chad Walcott: Feels like the options have not demonstrated a good enough knowledge of the bylaws.

Hardial Rosner: My issues with the options we were given is the attitude of the execs towards council. Specifically, a comment made about executives being elected by students and council acting with their own interests. I would feel very uncomfortable if execs continued having this point of view.

Chuck Wilson: Motivates against Hajar who has missed 3 steering meetings. Upset that she didn't start the academic caucus report that she agreed she would start. I'm not sure she's up to it.

Gonzo Nieto: It was insinuated by Simon Pierre that councilors were acting on political or personal interest instead of working for the best of the students. When I requested the information from Simon Pierre, he said he didn't have to do it. One of the responses I got was that council would orchestrate arguments against this executive.

Andrew Roberts: If the chair would allow me to, I'd like to address why I'm not on the candidate list. I would bring to the table a calming unifying vibe. The reason why I don't think that's effective is that shit needs to get done in the office. What's happening right here isn't what's going to make the student union work. It's getting to the office. Getting work done.

Hardial Rosner: I make all my points based on what students tell me, not based on my personal feelings. I would urge people here not to vote on any executive who was working not in accordance with their duties but their personal interest.

Veryan Goodship: Disappointed that we're talking about faults and not positives. I think Nadine would make the best job as President.

Paul Jerajian: Would like a verbal summary from Nadine about what she has done all year.

Nadine Atallah: MHPM, rebranding, dealing with requisition of clubs.

Simon Pierre Lauzon: What I'm proposing in the end with my candidacy is getting the job done. At a professional level, my relationship with council will remain the same or even improve, regardless of personal opinions. If you disagree with my opinions, you can approach me and I will deal with it.

Hardial Rosner: If you are president, Simon Pierre, will you respect the decisions of councilors and not undermine their decisions?

Simon Pierre Lauzon: I will respect them and enact motions as they are written. However, my personal opinions remain the same and if I have a problem I will bring it to the media as that is my free speech.

Benjamin Prunty moves to extend discussion to 15 minutes.

VOTE

All in favour of Benjamin's motion: 10

Oppositions: 2

Abstentions: 4

Motion passes

Melissa Kate Wheeler moves to reconsider the motion regarding the voting of only 3 executives

Seconded by **Chad Walcott**

VOTE

All in favour of Melissa's motion: unanimous

Motion passes

Gonzo Nieto moves to amend the motion to have 4 candidates instead of 3

Seconded by **Melissa Kate Wheeler**

VOTE

All in favour of Gonzo's motion: 15

Abstentions: 1

Motion passes

Hardial Rosner: Thinks Andrew is awesome but since he doesn't want to be President I don't think it's in the best interest of students to be President when he doesn't want to be.

Veryan Goodship: Doesn't think it's wise to consider someone who made up their mind simply based on something said in council. We shouldn't run into it.

Chris Webster: Andrew would do an awesome job. I also agree that it would be best for him.

Hannah Hackney: I suppose what's happening right now is that people really like Andrew as an option and people are pulling out problems they might see. If Andrew has confidence in himself then I have confidence in him.

Chad Walcott: Andrew stepping up to the plate and giving us what we want is good. He's the only person I would vote for.

Hardial Rosner: It's important to remember that the person we vote on is important when handing off the presidency to next year.

Chair: We're going to proceed with the vote.

Chair: Andrew Roberts won the majority.

Paul Jerajian moves to ratify Andrew Roberts as CSU President
Seconded by **Ben Prunty**

VOTE

All in favour: 10

Abstentions: 3 (Melissa Kate Wheeler)

Motion passes

11. VP Finance Report

Jordan Lindsay: Had a discussion with Lex and we were looking over the reports and I brought some things to Keny. If you look at the revenue lines on the student center plan and student fees, they are very low for this time of year.

Keny Toto: In terms of revenues for the year, the student fees and the health plan fees and the student space accessible education and legal contingency fund, the values have not been reflected on our accounting software. When brought up with Mylene, the internal copy of accounts we get from the University has not been closed. What she does is wait until the amounts close at the end of the month, explaining the discrepancy. In addition to that I also brought bank statements that I printed out for everyone to see the revenues that we received from student fees.

Chris Webster: Cailin from ASEQ was supposed to come in but that didn't happen. Is that still on the table? Someone scheduled to come at some point from that organization.

Keny Toto: Will get that information for you for the next council meeting.

Chris Webster: Wants to know how the process works. What's the process for verifying that the information doesn't go wrong?

Keny Toto: The revenues we got from student fees are not reflected in our accounts but they are reflected with the internal accounts of the university. The reason for this is because the month has not closed yet and the accountant would prefer to input

everything for the end of the month. What she can do is enter what she has now. The problem is that it wouldn't be as accurate?

Nadine Atallah: There are revenues that are constantly moving. The university transfers funds they receive from students and then transfer them to our account by cheque. The transaction for the month has not finished yet.

Jordan Lindsay: I noticed that the cheque went in October 23rd so you would have known at that point how much money was in that account. How far back are we talking that the account hasn't been updated? It seems a bit long to me.

James Vaccaro: Moving to another part of the financial report. Under current assets, there's the Scotiabank student center account. Then there's an account with a deficit. Why do we have an internal account in deficit together with an account in high surplus.

Keny Toto: Will get back to you on that.

Chad Walcott: For this meeting, you were mandated to get Ramy's requisitions and a comprehensive report with what's been spent from the Legal Contingency Fund. There seem to be transactions that went through that are not being shown in detail.

Keny Toto: There's an explanation for each transaction in a document that I've attached.

Chad Walcott: The 31K cheque as well as the 120K cheque. The 31K didn't need to come to council but was it approved through Finance Committee?

Keny Toto: No.

Chad Walcott: I don't remember signing off anything off 120K on council.

Keny Toto: Was the GFI for the IT.

Jordan Lindsay: BIRT Keny Toto delegate to Mylene to write a letter explaining the deficiencies in revenue from the following account lines: AG1020 Health Plan, (Health Plan Bank Account), Scotiabank GIC Student Center Fund, AG1054 (Student Fee Revenue), Student AG1018 CSU Operations.

VOTE

All in favour of Jordan's motion: 11

Oppositions: Chris Webster

Abstentions: 1

Motion passes

12. VP External Report

Chad Walcott: Your exec report mentioned getting more positions for FEUQ. What policy and/or mandate were you following when you decided to up our votes which were at their maximum by 2?

Simon Pierre Lauzon: The associations voted for that specific modification of adding of votes. It was semi-proportional. That's the whole point of the idea of bringing things to the FEUQ as opposed to the exec doing anything they want. We get multiple files and multiple amendments at every congress and most of them do not come to council. Things happen in real time. It was not planned. We took the opportunity to make it happen. In terms of repercussions, I was more worried about our relationship to the FEUQ in the future. If we want the CSU to stay within the FEUQ then we need to have solid arguments as to why the FEUQ is important for us and having a strong voice within it is important. I figured it was best for our student body to have as many votes as possible.

Melissa Kate Wheeler: I heard that it disempowered some of the smaller universities and pissed them off. Enlighten me how that happened?

Simon Pierre Lauzon: We now have 8 votes. We gained 20% of the voting power for CSU. On a very technical level their influence is statistically smaller but that percentage is negligible to the point that they agreed to vote for this modification. I would posit that they had issues with the FEUQ in general. Our voting power increases by a huge percentage. Their relative power goes down very little.

Melissa Kate Wheeler: Do you think they could band together and be hostile to us in the FAEQUM? Do the positive benefits outweigh the potential negative consequences with other universities?

Simon Pierre Lauzon: I feel like it was done in a way that everybody benefitted.

Ben Prunty: Could you explain how it went down in the ratification process?

Simon Pierre Lauzon: They brought it at the end of congress to be talked about at the CGS. At that point nothing was brought forward, not even an amendment, so it passed.

Nadine Atallah: This actually is still not an accurate representation of students we bring to the FEUQ as opposed to other associations which disproportionately represent more.

Simon Pierre Lauzon: The voting scheme was made 10 years ago. The proportions which were acceptable back then do not represent the realities of today. Even what we gained still doesn't approach what they had in mind 10 years ago. If my decisions went against our interest I wouldn't have made them.

Chad Walcott: Would like in the future for moves that have potential to damage the CSUs reputation and what don't reflect our policy book to be brought to council or external committee at least. If we want to look more prepared we should have pre-established demands from the executive instead of just making them on the fly.

Simon Pierre Lauzon: No other exec is held responsible to go to FEUQ congresses and that makes us look bad standing next to other associations who bring 8 – 10 people.

James Vaccaro calls for the orders of the day

13. VP Student Life Report and Post Mortem

Melissa Kate Wheeler: Said the attendance was low for Cabane-a-Sucre downtown. Why was that and how much money was spent?

Alexis Suzuki: It was mucky outside that first day. A few people came by and all the food we had set aside was all consumed so we didn't underestimate.

Melissa Kate Wheeler: You said it was the same thing for Loyola. Does Loyola offer a snow removal service?

Alexis Suzuki: Yes, but we would be charged. However, we had staff who were willing to do it. Regardless, when the idea to bring it inside was brought up, everyone agreed and thought it was a better idea.

Melissa Kate Wheeler: Do you think advertising individual events would have been beneficial?

Alexis Suzuki: No because there are several events and they should be advertised together.

Chad Walcott: Do we have the financials for winter orientation yet?

Alexis Suzuki: Some.

Keny Toto: Working on it.

Chad Walcott: Was the Events Committee involved with the organization?

Alexis Suzuki: We had a meeting prior. A lot of the planning was done prior to the meeting because it was only after they were appointed. Forms and preparation had to be done long before our committee was full.

Hardial Rosner: I feel like the meeting before the committee we were just collected and told what was going on. I hope that in the future I can be used to participate more and be called upon to volunteer for anything and everything that has to do with social events.

Erika Couto: Questions about the how-to guide. You were elected on the platform of reaching out to faculty associations. How is this reflected in your how-to guide?

Alexis Suzuki: I've separated that idea from orientation. That was never something I've discussed with past executives. In this case we made a conscious effort to include clubs as much as possible and had at least one event where we invited all faculty associations and I was amazed at the success of that one. Iron chef event was great. It wasn't necessarily something that was something I focused on but I can encourage other people to pursue it in the future.

Erika Couto: Do you have a section of pitfalls that people fell into in the past?

Alexis Suzuki: Those situations are taken in account of when I'm directing people not to fall into those situations.

Andrew Roberts: For some of the events we had planned in the fall, a lot of associations under FASA were involved.

Nadine Atallah: We can also add not just reaching out to faculty associations and clubs. We had one club participating in every event. We consciously tried to have clubs get as much promotion and presence as possible.

Melissa Kate Wheeler: It looks good so far but this is pretty bare-boned and this is March. I was expecting to have more information than what was presented. How close are you to completion?

Alexis Suzuki: It's something that I'm working on continuously and it's also something I've had to cut back on because of the mid-mandate. There will be more information than you're necessarily expecting. Some things were much shorter than anticipated, other things much longer. It's really hard for me to say how long I will be. Not very close to finishing because of the amount of things I want to happen.

Ben Prunty moves to take a 10-minute break

VOTE

All in favour: unanimous

Motion passes

14. CEO Salary

Keny Toto: When we appointed the CEO we did not discuss his salary. Council must decide this. Finance Committee met, discussed about it, and has a suggestion but I don't know if it's appropriate to discuss in open session.

Chad Walcott: How much was the CEO getting paid last year?

Jordan Lindsay: I believe it was around 3000 for this part of the election. I believe he got 5500 between the regular and by-election. Somewhere in that range.

Chad Walcott: Why not pay the same amount?

Jordan Lindsay: Our recommendation is to make it similar to that amount but there's only one team so maybe he should not be paid quite the same.

Ben Prunty moves that we pay the same amount as last year and leave the possibility of bonuses open

Jordan Lindsay: We didn't check the exact number so I like Ben's idea because I realistically think this will be much less contentious than last year. By paying him 2250 I really think we're paying more than we should be.

Veryan Goodship moves to table this to the council meeting after the elections
Seconded by **Chad Walcott**

Hardial Rosner: I don't like the idea of moving it until afterwards because it changes the structure to "he has to work for his money". I think it is odd and cruel.

Nadine Atallah: That's what bonuses are for. You pay someone's job based on doing their job. If you really liked how well they did, that's why you give a bonus.

Chris Webster: I would like to echo Hardial and say it's inappropriate to decide salaries after.

Fahd Ali Nasser: I agree with Hardial. I think you should know how much you're getting paid before. We should say 2500 right now and then pay him more by bonus.

VOTE

All in favour of calling the question: 6

Oppositions: 1

Abstentions: 4

Motion passes

VOTE

All in favour of Veryan's motion: 0

Oppositions: 5

Abstentions: Gonzo Nieto, Pierre Tardivo Martin, Chad Walcott, James Vaccaro

Motion fails

Paul Jerajian moves to pay CEO a minimum of \$3000 with the possibility of a bonus
Seconded by **Fahd Ali Nasser**

Fahd Ali Nasser amends to 2500 based on what we've been saying about last year with a possibility of a bonus.

Seconded by **Andrew Roberts**

Keny Toto: Justin got \$2400 for about 80 hours of work. Winter elections are more work so I feel it's more appropriate for it being \$3000.

Nadine Atallah calls the question

VOTE

All in favour of calling the question: 3

Oppositions: 2

Abstentions: 2

Motion passes

VOTE on Fahd's amendment: Motion fails

Paul Jerajian calls the question

VOTE

All in favour of calling the question: 3

Oppositions: 2

Abstentions: Pierre Tardivo Martin and James Vaccaro

Motion passes

VOTE

All in favour of Paul's motion: 3

Oppositions: 2

Abstentions: Chris Webster, Ben Prunty, James Vaccaro, and Pierre Tardivo Martin

Motion passes

15. Appointment of Standing Officer

Jordan Lindsay: We've historically always had 3 signing officers. There's no need to be on the 4th.

16. Standing Committee Appointments

Jordan Lindsay moves that we table the rest of the items to a council meeting and that we adjourn

Seconded by **Benjamin Prunty**

VOTE

All in favour of Jordan's motion: 5

Oppositions: 2

Abstentions: 1

Motion passes

Meeting is adjourned.